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ABSTRACT

Background
Post-operative rehabilitation of the elbow following a stable fracture, surgical release or manipulation under anesthesia consists of a period of passive motion (PM) to prevent adhesions, the detrimental effects of immobilization, reduce pain, reduce inflammation and increase range of motion to a functional level. Continuous passive motion (CPM) devices have been used routinely as a PM modality.

Objectives
To determine, with evidence from peer-reviewed journals, the optimal rehabilitation strategy, including the use of CPM, after a release of a contracted or stiff elbow joint.

Search strategy
The search included MEDLINE (1980 to 2005), JBJS (1974 to 2005), AJSM, Sage Publications (1976 to 2005), Lippincott Williams & Wilkins online journals, Springerlink.com online journals, NCBI.nlm.nih.gov (database), elsevier.com (Elsevier Health Science Periodicals) and reference lists of articles.

Selection criteria
All peer-reviewed clinical studies for rehabilitation that included a CPM device after a surgical release or a manipulation under anesthesia for a contracted elbow joint.

Main results
Twenty-four studies following a release of a contracted joint were included. Data were not pooled. In all studies, CPM demonstrated either statistically significant (7 studies) or positive functional (17 studies) outcomes for subjective and objective measures. The outcomes evaluated were overall function, range of motion, compliance soft tissue healing, and cost effectiveness. CPM was superior to physical therapy alone, contracture splinting alone, or patient directed home exercises. The most often reported CPM duration was six weeks in order to achieve statistically significant results.

Author’s conclusions
CPM regimens are widely employed in elbow rehabilitation after a fracture surgical release or a manipulation under anesthesia of a contracted joint and ligament repair. This review found sufficient evidence from peer-reviewed clinical studies that rehabilitation programs including CPM result in a net health benefit compared to programs with out CPM.
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POST-OP CPM FOLLOWING ELBOW JOINT SURGICAL RELEASE, MUA OR STABLE FRACTURE

INTRODUCTION

CPM after the surgical release of a joint contracture has been used extensively in the elbow. Clinical studies have demonstrated that CPM alone, CPM compared to splinting alone or CPM combined with physical therapy have resulted in superior statistical outcomes over programs without CPM.

The initial goal of therapy following a surgical release of a contracted joint is to maintain the range-of-motion gained after the release. If passive motion is not started within the first 48 hours following the release, the prognosis for improvement is significantly diminished. O'Driscoll and Giori have demonstrated that CPM immediately following a surgical release acts to pump blood and edema fluid out of the joint and periarticular tissues. The reduction of these fluids from a synovial joint reduces the risk of post-surgical joint stiffness. A contracted joint typically has an inflammatory component which can be aggravated by the surgical release itself resulting in limited or no improvement in range-of-motion following the surgical procedure. Salter, Kim, Kreder and Moran have all shown that CPM has reparative effects on inflamed joints. However, until recently the mechanism by which CPM acts as an anti-inflammatory agent was unknown. Recent studies by Gassner, Lee, Xu and Ferretti have helped explain the molecular basis for the beneficial effects of CPM on the inflamed joint. A CPM device by applying cyclic tensile stress on the involved joint for an extended time counteracts the effects of the inflammatory agents even better than immobilization.

The efficacy of CPM following a joint release in the elbow is clearly demonstrated in the following peer-reviewed studies. CPM leads to greater functional outcomes, greater ROM, improved healing by acting as an anti-inflammatory agent and higher patient satisfaction. The duration of CPM use is determined by the severity of the contracture and as long as improvements are seen.

HOME EXERCISE COMPLIANCE

Compliance is a critical concern that limits the effectiveness of patient self-directed exercise. Milroy (Figure 1) in a review of studies on home rehab exercise compliance found as many as one-third of all patients fail to follow through with their prescribed regimen and as many as two-thirds only partially follow through. In contrast continuous passive motion compliance is high.

Rosen et al found a 122% compliance rate for the CPM group as participants utilized the CPM device 7.3 hours on average, which was higher than the requested 6 hour rate. High compliance for home CPM use following a rotator cuff repair has been reported by both Royer and LaStayo. Kinex Medical reported a 98% compliance rate for 100 users of shoulder CPM following rotator cuff repair surgery.

Shoo et al reported in a study on the influence of home exercise performance that “the small number of existing studies show that the greater number of adherence to an exercise program is associated with reduced pain”.

LaStayo et al (Figure 2) reported a statistically significant reduction in pain with shoulder CPM compared to manual passive range of motion following rotator cuff repair (p=0.046). Raab et al also found a statistically significant reduction in pain in the shoulder CPM group compared to the patient-directed group and physical therapy assisted group post rotator cuff surgery (P=0.0185).
High compliance with CPM in the home compared to patient self-directed exercise can be explained by the pain reduction properties of CPM.\textsuperscript{19,29,62,75,102,107,145}

**PEER-REVIEWED STUDIES ON THE USE OF ELBOW CONTINUOUS PASSIVE MOTION**

A search in peer-reviewed medical journals for clinical studies involving the use of continuous passive motion following the release of a contracted elbow joint revealed twenty-four studies. In all studies the use of CPM for passive motion following a surgical joint release, burn or stable fracture resulted in both objective and subjective positive outcomes for overall function, range of motion, compliance and cost effectiveness.\textsuperscript{4,8,10,12,35,41,52,60,85,99,100,126,136} Post-operative rehabilitation protocols that included CPM are proven to be statistically more effective than protocols that did not include CPM (compared to physical therapy alone, splinting alone, or patient directed exercises).\textsuperscript{4,13,35,41,52,126}


The purpose of this study was to report the outcomes of surgical correction, predominantly with an anterior release, of elbow flexion contractures. In addition, the author’s evaluated the efficacy of continuous passive motion for four weeks or more depending on the severity of the contracture. The author’s retrospectively reviewed the outcomes of 106 consecutive patients who had undergone anterior elbow release for the treatment of a flexion contracture between July 1975 and June 2001. Twenty-nine patients were excluded because they had been followed for less than 12 months, leaving a study group of 77 patients. Post-operatively, 54 of the 77 patients were treated with continuous passive motion and the other 23 patients were treated with extension splinting. The average duration of follow-up was 33 months. The average patient age was 34 years. The results were evaluated on the basis of both pre-operative and post-operative radiographs as well as clinical measurements of elbow motion, all performed by the same examiner using the same large (47-cm-long) goniometer.

Results: The mean pre-operative extension in the 77 patients was 52°, which decreased to 20° post-operatively. The mean flexion increased from 111° pre-operatively to 117° pos-operatively, and the mean total arc of motion increased from 59° to 97°. The total arc of motion in the patients treated with continuous passive motion increased 45°, compared with an increase of 26° in those treated with extension splinting (P=0.27).

Compared with contractive splinting in extension alone, the utilization of continuous passive motion during the post-operative period resulted in a statistically significant increase in the total arc of motion (Figure 3).
Heterotopic periarticular ossifications were surgically excised in 16 elbows of 14 traumatic brain injury patients an average of 18.9 months (range, 4-67 months) after the end of a coma. In 11 elbows the ulnohumeral joint was ankylosed in a position that ranged from $0^\circ$ to $100^\circ$ of flexion (Group 1); in five elbows the arc of flexion ranged from $10^\circ$ to $25^\circ$ (Group 2). Full pronation and supination were present in 15 of the elbows; in one the radiocapitellar joint was fixed at $30^\circ$ of pronation by a partial ossification of the interosseous membrane. The arc of flexion attained after surgery averaged $115^\circ$ (range, $90^\circ$ to $145^\circ$) in the Group 1 elbows and $128^\circ$ (range, $115^\circ$ to $140^\circ$) in the Group 2 elbows. In an attempt to prevent post-operative loss of motion and recurrence of ossification, continuous passive motion was applied to the affected elbow for six weeks before starting a fully active rehabilitation program. All the patients were examined at regular intervals after the surgery. The follow-up period ranged from 12 to 60 months (average, 30.7 months). During the follow-up period, the arc of flexion averaged $95^\circ$ (range, $30^\circ$ to $135^\circ$) in the group 1 elbows and $116^\circ$ (range, $80^\circ$ to $145^\circ$) in the Group 2 elbows.

The authors compared their results to several other authors who did not utilize CPM following a similar series. CPM was identified as an important factor that resulted in superior results.

The author’s results were superior with CPM when compared to previous investigators who did not use CPM for six weeks postoperatively (Figure 4).  


Thirty-three patients who had a post-traumatic flexion contracture of the elbow were managed consecutively with anterior capsulotomy without tenotomy of the biceps tendon or myotomy of the brachialis muscle. The first 15 patients (Group 1) did not receive continuous passive motion post-operatively. Pre-operative active extension for Group 1 was an average of $48^\circ$ short of full extension, which improved to $19^\circ$ at a mean follow-up time of 45 months. Subsequently, 18 patients (Group 2) received continuous passive motion post-operatively for a mean of six weeks. Pre-operative active extension for Group 2 was on average $55^\circ$ short of full extension, which improved to $23^\circ$ at a mean duration of follow-up of 35 months. The mean pre-operative arc of motion for Group 2 was $69^\circ$, which improved to $94^\circ$ postoperatively. The mean preoperative arc of motion for Group 2 was $48^\circ$, which improved to $95^\circ$ postoperatively. Five patients in Group 1 and six patients in Group 2 had severe pre-operative heterotopic ossification (Figure 5).

The use of CPM on average for six weeks post-operatively improved the total arc of motion following an anterior capsulotomy by $47^\circ$ compared to $25^\circ$ for the non-CPM group. The difference between the groups was statistically significant.

In the treatment of post-traumatic contracture of the elbow joint, arthrolysis is a proven procedure. A total of 91 patients with arthrolysis of the elbow could be followed-up on average of 44 months (range 9-102 months) after operative (58, 63.7%) and non-operative (33, 36.3%) fracture treatment. The mean pre-operative range of motion (ROM) in flexion/extension was 49° (SD ± 38°), while in pronation/supination it was 89° (SD ± 66°). Post-operatively, the ROM was on average 94° (SD ± 27°) in flexion/extension and 129° (SD ± 52°) in pronation/supination. Using our own grading system, it became evident that most patients had a functional benefit from the procedure, although the quality of the improvement differed. For example, postoperatively 59.3% of the patients were grade I (90°) in flexion/extension compared with 16.5% preoperatively (Figure 6).

The earlier the release of the elbow joint; the better was the functional outcome (p < 0.05). The importance of an intensive early continuous passive motion program is emphasized while indication for this procedure should only be seen in compliant patients.


Post-traumatic contracture of the elbow is very disabling. However, an absolutely convincing surgical technique has not been defined in the literature. We developed an intra-articular technique to concomitantly treat both intra-articular and extra-articular lesions with one posterior incision. Twenty consecutive adult patients were treated with anteroposterior capsule release. Immediately postoperatively, continuous passive motion was initiated. All 20 patients were followed up for median of 3.8 (range 2.1-2.2) years. The satisfactory rate was 95% (19 of 20, p<0.001). The flexion contracture improved from an average of 42° to 13° which was statistically significant (p<0.001), and maximal flexion improved from an average of 89° to 131° (p<0.001). The arc of motion improved from an average of 47° to 118° (p<0.001). The sole unsatisfactory patient still had 20°-110° arc of motion. There were no evident complications noted (Figure 7).

This surgical technique followed by the use of early CPM resulted in a high satisfaction rate, a low complication rate, and a statistically significant improvement in ROM.


Thirteen adolescent patients with post-traumatic elbow contractures were treated with open surgical release at an average of 16.2 years of age. When possible, an extensile medial approach to the elbow was used. All patients were treated with six weeks of postoperative continuous passive motion. Eleven patients with >6 months of follow-up were evaluated at an average of 29 months after surgery. Average loss of extension improved from 57° to 15°, and average flexion improved from 109° to 123°. Average total arc of motion improved from 53° to 107° (Figure 8).
A significant finding by the author is that functional ROM was reached (greater than a 100° arc) on average with the use of CPM post-operatively for 6 weeks.


Twenty-five patients with arthrofibrosis of the elbow were treated with arthroscopic debridement followed by post-operative use of CPM; 15 had post-traumatic arthrofibrosis and 10 had contractures caused by degenerative arthritis. At an average follow-up of 18 months, all patients had increased motion and decreased pain. Patients with post-traumatic arthritis had more severe flexion contractures pre-operatively than did those with degenerative arthritis, but they also had more improvement post-operatively (Figure 9).

Arthroscopic release and debridement of arthrofibrotic elbow joints followed by the use of CPM obtained improvements equal to that obtained by open techniques, with less morbidity and earlier rehabilitation. Range-of-motion improvements were statistically significant (P=0.001).


The results obtained with elbow arthrolysis performed for the treatment of post-traumatic stiffness were analyzed via a retrospective study of 59 patients. The intra-operative functional result was classified as excellent in all cases, while on average 27 months after the operation the range of movement was decreased again to varying extents. This deficit correlated with the type of injury, timing of arthrolysis, duration of metal implants and timing and type of post-operative rehabilitation program. The relative increase in function was better after simple fractures with 47%, than after fracture dislocations with 35%. After arthrolysis within three months of onset of posttraumatic stiffness, the range of improvement was 55%, compared with an increase of only 30% after 10 months’ stiffness. When arthrolysis was combined with metal removal and the implants had been in place for longer than 9 months, the increase achieved was only 15%. Patients started on CPM on the first day post-operatively lost only 15% of their intra-operative function. If CPM was delayed to between the second and fifth day, 30% was lost. Utilizing a splint program for maximal joint flexion and extension at four-hour intervals instead of CPM resulted in a 35% loss of range of movement postoperatively. In contrast there was a loss of only 17% in the group with combined physiotherapy and continuous passive motion (Figure 10).
The results show that the prognosis of elbow arthrolysis is determined by early mobilization with a CPM device and optimal operative planning. The time to arthrolysis should be as short as possible, as should the time to removal of metal implants. The aim of the rehabilitation program is immediate postoperative continuous passive motion.


Over the period 1982 to 1988, 31 consecutive patients at the Hand Surgery Unit of the Sheba Medical Centre were subjected to elbow joint arthrolysis to treat restriction of range of motion solely due to trauma. This retrospective study aims to evaluate the relative influence of the following factors on functional outcome: sex, age, type of original injury and initial management, presence of para-articular ossification, delay between injury and arthrolysis, and the use of manipulation and a continuous passive motion device (CPM) following surgery. The range of motion was recorded prior to arthrolysis and after operation (excluding one patient who subsequently underwent arthrodesis for intractable pain). Follow-up averaged 15.3 months (±5.4). In the 24 patients with extension deficit (>20°), the mean improvement was 26.9° (±23.1°); in the 21 patients with flexion deficit the mean improvement was 21.2° (±18°). The mean improvement for total range of motion in the series overall was 35.2° (±23.8°). Ninety percent showed an improvement of at least 10° and 30% attained normal ROM. All of these improvements in range were statistically significant (p<0.0001) (Figures 10 and 11).

“With regard to improvement in extension, the only variable of value was the use of a continuous passive motion device following surgery; those patients subjected to CPM showed a mean improvement of 32.6° (±19.0°), while those without averaged 12.8° (±27.5°) (p<0.01). Respective rates of improvement beyond 10° were 88.2% (CPM) vs. 28.6%, (non-CPM) while the respective incidences of patient attaining normal extension were 64.7% (CPM) vs. 14.3% (non-CPM) at p=0.03 (Figure 12).


Heterotopic ossification which may develop around the elbow in patients with burns may lead to severe functional impairment. We describe the outcome of early excision for heterotopic ossification undertaken as soon as the patient’s general and local condition allowed. The mean age at operation was 42 years. The mean area of burn surface was 40%. The mean pre-operative range-of-motion (ROM) was 22° in flexion/extension and 94° in pronation/supination. The mean time between burn and surgical release was 12 months with a median of 9.5 months. The mean follow up period was 21 months (Figure 13).

Between 1992 and 2001, a consecutive series of 28 patients with 35 elbow burns underwent a surgical release due to heterotopic ossification. All procedures were performed by the same surgeon followed by continuous passive motion (CPM) starting on the sec-
The CPM was used for eight hours a day for three to four weeks with diminished daily use for another two to four weeks for a total of five to eight weeks. The CPM device was used as needed to gain ROM or to preserve ROM gained from the surgical release.

The gains in ROM were statistically significant improving from a mean of 22° to 123° in flexion/extension and a mean of 94° to 160° in pronation/supination. The improvement in ROM reached 100° in the total flexion/extension arc and 100° in the pronation/supination arc which is considered the minimum to perform most daily activities. The authors conclude that early excision of elbows with heterotopic ossification following a severe burn followed by CPM is recommended to reach functional ROM.


The authors reported a series of patients with post-traumatic flexion contractures who did not respond to non-operative physical therapy, dynamic splinting or a bracing program. The average flexion contracture was 41°. The patients underwent an anterior surgical release followed by continuous passive motion (CPM) immediately post-op in the hospital with continuation in the patients’ home. Full extension was achieved in the operating room. At a 12 month follow-up the patients improved to a mean of a 5° flexion contracture.

The authors compared their results with a similar series from Urbaniak et al who did not use CPM post-operatively and reported a flexion contracture of 19° (CPM).

This series was a preliminary study on the efficacy of elbow CPM demonstrating superior positive results compared to a similar series by Urbaniak et al (1985) who did not use CPM postoperatively. “Our results indicate that improvements over standard methods of rehabilitation (splinting, physical therapy) after capsular release can be achieved with this technique (CPM).


The characteristics and surgical outcome of debridement arthroplasty were investigated in athletes and manual laborers with osteoarthrosis of the elbow. There were 26 elbows in athletes, and 24 elbows in laborers. The mean age was 32 years in athletes and 50 years in laborers. The osteoarthrosis was mainly mild in athletes, but moderate or severe in laborers. Debridement arthroplasty, consisting of resection, osteophytes and removal of loose bodies, was performed in all cases followed by the use of continuous passive motion (CPM). Surgery followed by the use of CPM relieved pain and improved range of motion at an average follow-up of 59.5 months. Evaluation of the long-term outcomes at more than five years showed recurrence of mild osteoarthrosis with minimal symptoms.

Debridement arthroplasty followed by the use of CPM post-operatively is an effective treatment in athletes and manual laborers with osteoarthrosis of the elbow. The mean average increase was 24° with no difference in improvement between athletes or laborers.


A lateral approach was used to release a post-traumatic contracture of the elbow in seven patients followed by the use of continuous passive motion (CPM) post-operatively. The results were evaluated an average of 38 months post-operatively. Extension...
improved from an average flexion contracture of 45° pre-operatively to 12° post-operatively and the average point of maximum flexion increased from 116° pre-operatively to 129° post-operatively. The average arc of motion increased 46°.

A lateral release is an effective surgical option following an elbow contracture with the use of CPM post-operatively.


Intra- and peri-articular fractures about the elbow joint are treated with open reduction and internal fixation. This allows early function after treatment. Nevertheless, the range of motion remains more or less unsatisfactory. In these cases open arthrolysis provides a considerable improvement in joint function. We therefore recommend this operation when the hardware is removed after the accident. The reasons for post-traumatic contracture of the elbow could be intrinsic such as interposed fragments, intra-articular adhesions, incongruity of the articular surfaces—or extrinsic—like contractures of the capsule and ligaments, adhesions of different layers, ectopic bone formations.

An individually modified rehabilitation program is as important as the operative procedure itself to achieve the best results possible. Physiotherapy is supported by CPM machines as early as possible. Patients must be prepared with the help of drugs and the application of ice bags. Even after months, improvement of motion can be obtained. In a retrospective follow-up study, 125 out of 168 patients with arthrolysis of the elbow joint were reviewed. Most patients sustained a fracture of the distal humerus. In 77%, the results were graded as very good, good, or satisfactory, i.e., the average relative improvement amounted to at least 40% according to the criteria of W. Blauth. Patients with very severe (preoperative ROM, 0-30°) and severe (preoperative ROM 30-60°) contractures profited more (relative improvement 60%) than the others (relative improvement 45%). Overall, the average arc of total motion increased 49° and the relative improvement of motion increased by 58%.

Arthrolysis followed by CPM demonstrated significant improvements in 125 patients who were reviewed by the author.


Nine patients who underwent open reduction of a T-condylar distal humerus fracture through a Bryan-Morrey triceps-sparing approach were evaluated for triceps function and elbow motion. The average follow-up was 3 years 5 months. The average range of motion was -8° to 136°. Compared with another study that tested triceps function after open reduction with the Campbell triceps-splitting approach, no statistically significant difference in function or range of motion was found. In this small series, early post-operative continuous passive motion was found to significantly increase range of motion. The Bryan-Morrey triceps-sparing approach can be used in children and adolescents who require open reduction of T-condylar distal humeral fractures.

In this series, early post-operative use of CPM was found to significantly increase range of motion.


This was a retrospective review of 17 T-condylar fractures in children and adolescents, aged 9-16 years. It examined the results by sex, age, arm injured, hand dominance, mechanism of injury, radiologic appearance, operative findings, operative procedure, outcome, and complications. There was a male-to-female ratio of 2.4:1. The large majority of patients received their fractures as a result of a fall. Fifteen patients underwent open reduction, internal fixation followed by the use of CPM, with a mean postoperative follow-up of 16 months. The posteromedial (Bryan-Morrey) and the olecranon osteotomy approach resulted in a statistically significant better extension than the triceps-splitting approach (p< or =0.05). Patients with articular damage had statistically significantly less extension at follow-up (p< or =0.001).

"The use of CPM in the immediate post-operative period resulted in a functional range of motion sooner and a statistically significant increase in flexion at follow-up exam compared to the group without CPM" (p<0.05).

In a 12-year period, 104 patients with intra-articular fractures of the distal humerus received surgical treatment. Based on the Arbeitsgemeinschaft fur Osteosynthesefragen/Association for the Study of Internal Fixation (AO/ASIF) classification, there were 40 monocondylar fractures, 46 bicondylar fractures, and 18 fractures of the ulnar epicondyle with the fragment dislocated in the elbow joint. In children almost all fixations were done with Kirschner wires. The adult fractures were stabilized according to the recommendations of the AO/ASIF, i.e., monocondylar fractures by screws and/or single-plate fixation and bicondylar fractures by screws and dual-plate fixation, preferably with reconstruction plates. Comminuted fractures required an additional osteotomy of the olecranon. Except for children, the essential feature of post-operative management was early functional treatment by continuous passive motion. Eighty-eight patients (84.6%) were followed with an average follow-up time of 4.4 years. The results were evaluated for range of motion, pain, working capacity, neural and vascular impairment, valgus/varus deformity, and subjective judgment. The long-term outcome of almost 91% good and very good results suggests that operative treatment can be valuable when indications, anatomic surgical reconstruction, and postoperative care includes CPM. The use of CPM post-operatively contributed to a 30% reduction in hospitalization time because home therapy was improved. The authors also report that the use of CPM decreased the incidence of myositis ossificans while improving ROM.


Two patients with severe intra-articular fractures of the distal humerus were treated with internal fixation and postoperative continuous passive motion (CPM) of the elbow. The CPM machine’s range of motion was initially set at 30° to 70° of flexion for one patient and 45° to 90° of flexion for the other. The arc of motion was gradually increased daily without discomfort. There was no occurrence of wound complications, nonunion, loss of reduction, heterotopic ossification, or failure of fixation. At last evaluation, both patients had full pronation and supination. One patient had 0° to 135° of elbow flexion, and the other had 30° to 110°. Both patients had pain-free elbows. CPM was found to be a valuable therapeutic modality in the postoperative management of intra-articular fractures of the elbow. The authors concluded in this early study that CPM was a valuable therapeutic modality in the post-operative management of intra-articular fractures of the elbow.


Twenty-three patients with tuberculous arthritis of the elbow were treated and followed for three to eight years. The diagnosis was established by finding mycobacterium tuberculosis in the aspirate or in a surgical specimen in 18, and histology in five. A long history of symptoms and extensive involvement of bone and joint are associated with poor results. Early diagnosis and adequate treatment can be followed by good functional results. Post-operative continuous passive motion (CPM) is valuable in improving range of movement in elbows with extensive osteoarticular tuberculosis. Early diagnosis and surgical treatment followed by CPM resulted in good functional outcomes in elbows with extensive osteoarticular tuberculosis.


The authors treated 46 consecutive patients (47 elbows) suffering from post-traumatic contracture of the elbow joint with operative release. A lateral approach was used to perform a capsulectomy after release of the extensor muscles in 23 elbows. An additional medial approach was used to excise ulnar adhesions and perform a more extensive capsulectomy and an ulnar nerve neurolysis in 24 elbows. Post-operative rehabilitation consisted of immediate passive range of motion exercises utilizing a continuous passive motion (CPM) device. The results were assessed after a mean of 10 years. Before surgery the mean active arc of motion was 45°, which im-
proved to 99°. The mean post-operative flexion was 114° and the mean extension lag was 15°. Pronation and supination improved from a mean of 8° before surgery to 98° after surgery. No patient suffered from joint instability, or an increase in pain. Forty-four patients were satisfied with the result at the latest follow-up. The authors found similar improvements in both surgical technique groups.

The authors reported that their rehabilitation program supported the findings of Gates et al (1992) that post-operative use of CPM improves total arc of motion and therefore improves function.


This report is a preliminary review of the use of continuous passive motion (CPM) with the pediatric population. The authors report that CPM with this population prevents joint stiffness, reduces pain, stimulates the regeneration of articular tissue, eliminates adhesions and is well tolerated by younger patients. The development of CPM in animal as well as clinical studies are presented, especially following pediatric surgery.

Safety and the efficacy of CPM following surgery in the pediatric population is supported in this clinical review.


Between 1986 and 1994, 13 patients with mobile painful arthritic elbows were treated by distraction interposition arthroplasty using fascia lata. The mean period of follow-up was 63 months. An elbow distractor/fixator was applied for three to four weeks to separate the articular surfaces and to protect the fascial graft. Nine of the 13 patients (69%) had satisfactory relief from pain; eight (62%) had an excellent or good result by the objective criteria of the Mayo Elbow Performance score. Four have required revision to total elbow arthroplasty at a mean of 30 months with good results to date. Continuous passive motion (CPM) was instituted with in 48 hours after the procedure.

“Although less reliable than prosthetic replacement, distraction arthroplasty followed by CPM is a useful option in the treatment of young, high-demand patients with arthritis of the elbow. It is rarely indicated in the presence of generalized inflammatory arthritis, but may be of value in those patients in whom the disease is limited primarily to the elbow.”


Mileti reported on osteocapsular arthroplasty, an arthroscopic procedure for the treatment of osteoarthritis that involves removal of loose bodies; resection of osteophytes in and around the olecranon, coronoid, and radial fossae; and release of capsular contractures. Continuous passive motion was used post-operatively up to six weeks. After a minimum duration of follow-up of one year, the mean total arc of motion improved from 76 to 124°, with flexion to 136° and an extension deficit of 12°. A functional arc of motion was achieved in 15 of 17 patients. Pain at the end of the range of motion, which had been moderate to severe in all patients pre-operatively, was eliminated in 13 patients.

CPM was found to be an effective rehabilitation tool in this study.


The authors report on a new technique and results of a new method of debridement arthroplasty followed by the use of continuous passive motion (CPM) immediately post-op. Triceps and the periosteum of the olecranon are reflected towards the ulnar side and the joint is opened by dividing the radial collateral ligament. Osteophytes are removed, the olecranon and coronoid fossae are deepened and the fibrosed anterior joint capsule is excised. The degenerative changes are always more advanced on the radial side, with erosion of the capitellum, and it is necessary to remodel the head of the radius. In 29 elbows reviewed at a mean of 64 months, the average gain of range of motion was 34°, with good pain relief and improved grip in most patients.

CPM was a useful post-operative rehabilitation tool.
EFFICACY OF ELBOW CPM POST-SURGICALLY

Prior to 1989 there were few reports on the use of CPM following the surgical release of a joint contracture. Frykman reported statistically superior outcomes (p<.05) on the use of CPM for stiff MP and PIP joints for posttraumatic ankylosis in 1989. CPM for six weeks in duration was tried after a vigorous hand therapy program had failed or after a previous surgical intervention without CPM had failed. Bradley reported significant positive results with CPM use for 10 hours per day after arthroscopy and manipulation for primary adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder in 1991. Also in 1991, a retrospective study by Breitfus found CPM to be superior over physical therapy or splinting only program. The author also looked at start time and found superior results were seen when CPM was started within 48 hours following the surgical procedure. A second retrospective study was done by Schindler between 1982-1988 and found CPM the only rehabilitation variable of value. CPM was initiated following an arthrolysis procedure for a contracted joint and resulted in a statistically significant improvement (p<0.01) both in range of motion and function (88% of CPM users improved more than 10° while only 29% of non users had similar success).

A study by Gates in 1992 compared physical therapy to a CPM (six weeks) protocol following a release of a elbow joint contracture. The CPM group improved a mean of 47° compared to only 25° in the physical therapy group. Ippolito also reported functional improvements with elbow CPM after six weeks of use compared to a similar series who only utilized physical therapy in 1999. The importance of an intensive early CPM program was emphasized by both Olivier and Bennett following elbow surgical releases in 2000. Olivier had 91 patients and Bennett had 68 patients who reached statistically significant (p<0.05) gains in range of motion and function after a capsulotomy and post op use of CPM. Aldridge compared the efficacy of elbow CPM to a traditional splinting program in 2004. Splinting programs following a surgical release of a stiff joint had been the standard of practice with many surgeons. This study of 106 joints joins the growing body of research demonstrating statistical superior results for elbow CPM (p=0.27) over splinting and physical therapy only programs (Figure 14).

The average period of use was six weeks following a surgical release or manipulation of the elbow in order to reach statistically significant improvements in range of motion and function (Figure 15). Only two authors out of 24 mentioned that they used CPM for four weeks or less. Actual duration typically depended on the patient's response to CPM. If the patients range of motion stabilized (no increase or decrease) then CPM was reduced or discontinued. If a loss of motion was detected, or continued gains seen, then CPM was continued.
Surgical Release, Manipulation Under Anesthesia, Contracture/Stiffness\textsuperscript{4,8,10,12,13,35,41,52,60,85,99,100,126,135,143}

**SET-UP GUIDELINE**

- The patient is fitted and instructed on use of the Kinex Elbow CPM Device (preoperatively if possible to improve compliance).\textsuperscript{60,128}
  
  ⇒ **Repeatable Anatomical Position**: Kinex Head Positioner is aligned to the patient to ensure correct positioning each time the CPM device is used.

  ⇒ **Anatomical Elbow Alignment**: Kinex Multi-plane Adjustable Arm helps ensure the CPM device is aligned with the elbow and forearm throughout the arc-of-motion.

  ⇒ **Postsurgical Grade Computer Sensor**: Kinex extrasensitive sensor will reverse direction of movement if too much strain is detected; set between levels 20 (light) & 25 (heavy) depending on extremity size.

- CPM use is initiated 24-48 hours postoperatively, if possible.\textsuperscript{5,13,35,60,85,143}

- The elbow CPM is positioned with the shoulder at 90° of scapular elevation and with the humerus and wrist stabilized. The shoulder can be positioned at less than 90° if the patient has discomfort or an additional injury.

- **Synchronized Kinex CPM**: extension/flexion arc of motion is synchronized with forearm pronation/supination are in a preset ratio.

- **Isolated Kinex CPM**: The KE2 is set up in the ISO mode which offers three reps of flexion-extension followed by one rep of pronation-supination (3:1 ratio). The surgeon or therapist determines what position the hand is in during the flexion-extension arc and what position the elbow is in during the pronation-supination arc.

**WEARING SCHEDULE GUIDELINE**

- The Kinex CPM Device is used for 6-8 weeks or as needed.\textsuperscript{8,34,41,60,135}

- Week one, CPM is used 6-20 hours per day or as needed.\textsuperscript{58}

- Week two and beyond, the CPM is used for 4-8 hours per day in 3-4 sessions or as directed.\textsuperscript{60,128}

- **Kinex End-Range-Repeat Mode**: Three hour daily use schedules or severe contractures are usually performed in the Kinex End-Range-Repeat Mode; last 10° of the ROM arc is repeated 10 times followed by one complete ROM arc (10:1 ratio) in order to maximize functional use or need.

- **Kinex Static-Progressive-Stretch Mode**: This mode is used to gain motion in a contracted joint, usually not postoperatively. The Kinex CPM device is placed at end-range with the pause mode set at five minutes. After five minutes the CPM device is increased to the new end-range. This continues 1-2X a day for 30-60 minutes, week one. Week two the duration is increased to 2-3X a day. Week three and beyond the sessions are 60-90 minutes 3 times a day.
- **Kinex Dynamic-Stretch Mode**: This mode is used to gain motion in a contracted joint, usually not postoperatively. The Kinex CPM device is set at end-range. The force reversal is set between levels 15 (low) and 25 (high) depending on the extremity size or stiffness. The device will move through one full cycle followed by 10 stretch cycles (1:10 ratio). In the stretch cycle the Kinex device will attempt to move the joint 5° beyond end-range. The device will automatically reverse if a force that is stronger than the setting force is met. Duration is 1-2 times for 30-60 minutes a day, week one. Week two the device is used 30-60 minutes a day for 2-3 times. Week three and beyond the device is used 60-90 minutes a day 3 times a day.

**PROM GOALS**
- The patient increases ROM as tolerated to meet ROM goals.\(^{53,60,128}\)
- CPM use should continue if PROM goals have not been met.\(^{60}\)
- Kinex CPM device can be set at dynamic-progressive-stretch or static-progressive-stretch mode if patient is not progressing as expected.
- Full joint motion may be less during the first 2-3 weeks postoperatively due to swelling.\(^{60}\)
- Elbow extension/flexion and pronation/supination end range goal is 85% or better of the operative range.\(^{60}\)

Note: This device must be used under the advice and care of a physician.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Study</th>
<th>Purpose of Study</th>
<th>Duration of Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Release of the Elbow for Extension Loss: Aldridge et al (2004, J Bone Jt Surg)</td>
<td>Compared the efficacy of CPM to splinting only following the surgical release of 106 elbow joints.</td>
<td>CPM was used 4 weeks or longer depending on the severity of the contracture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resection of Elbow Ossification and Continuous Passive Motion in Post-comatose Patients: Ippolito et al (1999, J Hand Surg)</td>
<td>Heterotopic periarticular ossifications were surgically excised in 16 elbow joints of traumatic brain injury patients.</td>
<td>The CPM was used for 6 weeks before starting a fully active rehabilitation program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Capsulotomy and Continuous Passive Motion in the Treatment of Post-traumatic Flexion Contracture of the Elbow: A Prospective Study: Gates et al (1992, J Bone Jt Surg)</td>
<td>Thirty-three patients who had a post-traumatic flexion contracture of the elbow underwent an anterior capsulotomy. Fifteen patients did not receive CPM &amp; eighteen patients did receive CPM post-operatively.</td>
<td>CPM was used for a mean of 6 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading of Functional Results of Elbow Joint Arthrolysis after Fracture Treatment: Olivier et al (2000, Arch Orthop Trauma Surg)</td>
<td>Ninety-one patients were treated with arthrolysis for a post-traumatic contracture followed by the use of CPM.</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-traumatic Contracture of the Elbow Treated with Intraarticular Techniques: Wu (2003, Arch Ortho Trauma Surg)</td>
<td>Twenty consecutive adult patients underwent an anteroposterior capsule release. Immediately post-operatively, CPM was initiated.</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical Treatment of Post-traumatic Elbow Contracture in Adolescents: Bae &amp; Waters (2001, J Ped Ortho)</td>
<td>Thirteen adolescents with post-traumatic elbow contractures were treated with open surgical release followed by CPM.</td>
<td>CPM was used for 6 weeks post-operatively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthroscopic Treatment of Arthrofibrosis of the Elbow Joint: Phillips &amp; Strasburger (1998, J Arthro Rel Surg)</td>
<td>Twenty-five patients with arthrofibrosis were treated with arthroscopic debridement and CPM post-operatively.</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthrolysis of Post-traumatic Stiff Elbow; Which Factors Influence the End Result: Breitfus et al (1991, Unfallchirurg)</td>
<td>A retrospective study of 59 patients who received an arthrolysis for post-traumatic stiffness. CPM was compared to splinting and physical therapy. CPM start times were also evaluated.</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterotopic Ossification of the Elbow in Patients with Burns; Results after early Excision: Tsionos et al (2004, J Bone Jt Surg Br)</td>
<td>Between 1992 &amp; 2001, 35 elbows underwent a surgical release to heterotopic ossification. CPM began on the second post-operative day.</td>
<td>CPM was used for 5-8 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow Flexion Contractures: Treatment by Anterior Release and Continuous Passive Motion: Breen et al (1987, J Hand Surg)</td>
<td>Patients with post-traumatic flexion contractures who did not respond to non-operative physical therapy, dynamic splinting or bracing were treated by anterior surgical release and post-operative CPM.</td>
<td>CPM was started in the hospital and continued in the patients home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debridement Arthroplasty for Osteoarthritis of the Elbow: 50 patients followed a Mean of 5 Years: Oka (2000, Acta Orthop Scand)</td>
<td>Their were 26 athletes and 24 laborers who underwent debridement arthroplasty for contractures do to osteoarthritis.</td>
<td>CPM was initiated at 5 days post-operatively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Lateral Approach for Operative Release of Posttraumatic Contracture of the Elbow: Husband et al (1990, JBJS)</td>
<td>A lateral release was used in this series of patients with a post-traumatic elbow contracture followed by the use of CPM post-operatively.</td>
<td>CPM was initiated immediately post-operatively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthrolysis of the Elbow in Post-traumatic Contracture: Blauth et al (1990, Orthopade)</td>
<td>In a retrospective study, 125 out of 168 patients were reviewed following an arthrolysis and application of CPM for post-traumatic stiffness.</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-Condylar Fractures of the Distal Humerus in Children and Adolescents: Re et al (1999, J Ped Orthop)</td>
<td>This was a retrospective review of 17 T-condylar fractures in children and adolescents. It examined the results by sex, age, arm injured, hand dominance, mechanism of injury, operative procedure, CPM use, and outcome.</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraarticular Fractures of the Distal Humerus, Surgical Treatment and Results: Letsch et al (1989, Clin Ortho Rel Res)</td>
<td>This preliminary study evaluated 104 patients who underwent a surgical repair of the distal humerus over a 12 year period. CPM was used immediately in the hospital and part of the home program. Outcome measures included ROM, pain, and working capacity.</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Passive Motion after Internal Fixation of the Distal Humerus: Soffer et al (1990, Ortho Rev)</td>
<td>This small series preliminary study evaluated the use of CPM following stabilization of distal humerus fractures.</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculous Arthritis of the Elbow: Chen et al (1998, International Orthopaedics)</td>
<td>Twenty-three patients with tuberculous arthritis of the elbow were treated including the use of CPM post-operatively and followed for 3 to 8 years.</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive Surgical Release of a Post-traumatic Stiff Elbow, Techniques and outcome after 2-18 years in 46 patients: Marti et al (2002, Acta Orthop Scand)</td>
<td>This study evaluated surgical techniques and post-operative rehabilitation on 46 patients suffering from post-traumatic contracture of the elbow joint. Rehabilitation outcomes were evaluated at a mean of 10 years.</td>
<td>CPM was utilized immediately post-operatively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Primary Finding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total arc of motion increased 45° in the CPM group &amp; only 26° in the splinting only group. This difference is statistically significant, p=0.27.</td>
<td>CPM following a surgical release offers a statistically superior (p=0.27) functional outcome over splinting alone &amp; physical therapy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROM improvements were greater then five previous investigators with a similar series of patients without CPM.</td>
<td>CPM is more effective in reaching functional range of motion after 6 weeks then physical therapy alone following a surgical release.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The mean post-operative arc of motion improved 25° in the physical therapy group and 47° in the CPM group. The difference was statistically significant.</td>
<td>CPM following the release of a flexion contracture resulted in a statistically significant improvement in function compared to the non-CPM group.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The mean ROM improved from 49° to 94° in flexion and 89° to 129° in pronation/supination. The results were statistically significant at p&lt;0.05.</td>
<td>The importance of an intensive early CPM program is emphasized as the results were statistically significant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The flexion contracture improved from a mean of 42° to 13°, flexion improved from 89° to 131° &amp; the total arc improved from 47° to 118°. All improvements were statistically significant at p&lt;0.001.</td>
<td>A statistically significant improvement (p&lt;0.001) in functional ROM was seen do to the use of CPM post-release.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average loss of extension improved from 57° to 15°, average flexion improved from 109° to 123° &amp; total arc improved from 53° to 107°.</td>
<td>Open surgical release followed by the use of CPM for 6 weeks resulted in a significant improvement in functional ROM (&gt;100°) in adolescents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At an average follow up of eighteen months all patients had a statistically significant (p=0.001) increase in ROM and decreased pain.</td>
<td>Arthroscopic release followed by CPM obtained statistically significant results.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients who started on CPM day one lost 15% of intraoperative function while those delayed to day five lost 30%. The combined PT and CPM group lost 17% compared to the splinting group which lost 35%. The CPM gains were statistically significant.</td>
<td>Statistically superior results were obtained with CPM compared to a splinting program. CPM started within 48 hours did better then CPM started day five. Even delayed CPM use was superior to non-CPM protocols.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All of the improvements were statistically significant, p&lt;0.0001. 88.2% of CPM users improved beyond 10° vs. only 28.6% for non-CPM users, while 64.7% of patients in the CPM group reached normal extension only 14.3% remained.</td>
<td>The only variable of value was the use of CPM following surgery. The CPM mean improvement (32.6°) was statistically superior than the non-CPM group (12.8°), p&lt;0.91.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The gains were statistically significant from a mean of 22° to 123° in flexion/extension &amp; 94° to 160° in pronation/supination.</td>
<td>The authors compared their series to a similar series by Urbaniak (1985, JBJS) who did not use CPM post-operatively (splinting only) that resulted in an average of a 19° contracture. The authors state that their improved outcomes are do to the post-operative use of CPM.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There were no complications and the patients tolerated CPM well. The mean flexion contracture was 41° and the mean improvement was 36°, leaving a mean flexion contracture of 5°.</td>
<td>The authors conclude that debridement arthroplasty followed by CPM is an effective treatment in athletes and laborers with osteoarthritis of the elbow.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The patients were evaluated after 5 years and exhibited a mean improvement of 24°. There was no difference in improvement between athletes and laborers.</td>
<td>The authors conclude that debridement arthroplasty followed by CPM is an effective treatment in athletes and laborers with osteoarthritis of the elbow.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The patients were evaluated an average of 38 months post-operatively. The mean arc of motion improved 46°.</td>
<td>A lateral release is an effective surgical option following an elbow contracture when CPM is used post-operatively.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In 77% of patients, the results were very good, good or satisfactory. Overall, the mean increase was 49° or a 58% improvement.</td>
<td>Arthrolysis followed by CPM demonstrated significant improvements in 125 patients who were reviewed by the author.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The average follow up was 3 years and 5 months. The average range of motion was -8° to 136°. These results were statistically significant.</td>
<td>In this series, early post-operative CPM was found to significantly increase range of motion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements contributed to the use of CPM were statistically significant at p&lt;0.05.</td>
<td>&quot;The use of CPM in the immediate post-operative period resulted in a functional range of motion sooner and yielded a statistically significant increase in flexion at follow up exam compared to the group without CPM&quot; (p&lt;0.05).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The long term outcomes (81%) were rated as very good or good do to the surgical treatment and the post-operative use of CPM.</td>
<td>The use of CPM post-operatively contributed to a 30% reduction in hospitalization time because home therapy was improved. The authors also report that the use of CPM decreased the incidence of myositis ossificans.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All patients recovered full pronation/supination and functional ROM in flexion/extension.</td>
<td>The authors concluded in this early study that CPM was a valuable therapeutic modality in the post-operative management of intra-articular fractures of the elbow.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early diagnosis and surgical treatment resulted in good functional outcomes when CPM is included in post-operative care.</td>
<td>&quot;Post-operative CPM is valuable in improving range of movement in elbows with extensive osteoarticular tuberculosis.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean post-operative flexion was 114° and the mean extension lag was 5°. Pronation/Supination improved from a mean of 8° to a mean of 98°.</td>
<td>The results of our rehabilitation program support the findings of Gates et al (1992) that post-operative use of CPM improves total range of motion and therefore function.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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